#### CETIFICATION

SDG No:

JC18649

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Accutest, Florida

Site:

BMS, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Groundwater

Humacao, PR

**SUMMARY:** 

Groundwater and soil samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken April 18-19, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey for the ABN TCL Special List and for TCL pesticides list that reported the data under SDG No.: JC18649. Accutest Laboratory of Orlando, Florida analyzed for low molecular weight alcohols (LMWA) that also reported the data under SDG No.: JC18649. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
	DESCRIPTION		
JC18649-1	RA14-GWD	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL
JC18649-1A	RA14-GWD	Groundwater	LMWA
JC18649-2	RA14D-GWD	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL
JC18649-2A	RA14D-GWD	Groundwater	LMWA
JC18649-3	RA13 (5-6)	Soil	ABN TCL special list; pesticides
			TCL; LMWA
JC18649-4	RA13-GWS	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL
JC18649-4A	RA13-GWS	Groundwater	LMWA
JC18649-5	RA13-GWD	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL
JC18649-5A	RA13-GWD	Groundwater	LMWA

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 14, 2016

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

RA14-GWD

JC18649-1

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: Date Received: 04/20/16

Q

04/18/16

Matrix: Method:

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	5P27667A.D	1	04/21/16	IJ	04/20/16	OP93236	E5P1400
Run #2	2M83247.D	200	04/21/16	AN	04/20/16	OP93236	E2M3657

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	810 ml	1.0 ml
Run #2	810 mi	1.0 ml

### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.0	ug/l
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	6.2	1.1	ug/l
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.5	1.6	ug/l
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	6.2	3.0	ug/l
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	12	1.9	ug/l
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	6.2	1.6	ug/l
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.5	1.1	ug/l
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.5	1.1	ug/l
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	6.2	1.2	ug/l
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenal	ND	12	1.4	ug/l
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.7	ug/l
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.5	0.48	ug/l
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.8	ug/I
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.6	ug/l
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.1	ug/l
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.2	0.24	ug/l
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.2	0.17	ug/l
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.5	0.26	ug/l
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.2	0.26	ug/l
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.5	0.55	ug/l
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	6.2	0.36	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a) anthracene	ND	1.2	0.25	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.2	0.26	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.2	0.25	ug/l
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.2	0.42	ug/l
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.2	0.25	ug/l
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.5	0.50	ug/l
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.56	ug/l
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.2	0.26	ug/l
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.5	0.29	ug/l
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	6.2	0.42	ug/l
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.2	0.28	ug/l



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

Client Sample ID: RA14-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-1 Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Report of Analysis

Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Q

В

Date Sampled: 04/18/16

### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.5	0.80	ug/l
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.2	0.22	ug/l
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.5	0.34	ug/l
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.5	0.31	ug/l
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.5	0.50	ug/l
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.5	0.45	ug/l
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.2	0.68	ug/l
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.2	0.59	ug/l
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.5	0.63	ug/l
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	7880 a	250	160	ug/l
53-70-3	Dibenzo (a,h) anthracene	ND	1.2	0.41	ug/l
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	6.2	0.27	ug/l
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.61	ug/l
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.29	ug/l
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.32	ug/l
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.27	ug/l
117-81-7	bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate	ND	2.5	2.0	ug/l
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.2	0.21	ug/l
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.2	0.21	ug/l
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.2	0.40	ug/l
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.2	0.61	ug/l
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	12	3.4	ug/l
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.5	0.48	ug/l
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.2	0.41	ug/l
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.5	0.34	ug/l
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.2	0.32	ug/l
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.2	0.26	ug/l
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	6.2	0.34	ug/I
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	6.2	0.48	ug/l
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	6.2	0.54	ug/l
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.5	0.79	ug/l
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.5	0.59	ug/l
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	6.2	0.27	ug/I
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.2	0.22	ug/l
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.2	0.27	ug/l
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.5	0.46	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	51%	0% <sup>b</sup>	14-8	8%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

## Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

RA14-GWD JC18649-1

Matrix: Method:

Project:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: Date Received:

04/18/16 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a



#### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	32%	0% b	10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	86%	0% b	39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	72%	0% <sup>b</sup>	32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	77%	0% հ	35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	81%	0% և	10-126%

(a) Result is from Run# 2

(b) Outside control limits due to dilution.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

File ID

4M64882.D

Terphenyl-d14

## Report of Analysis

By

LK

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

RA14-GWD JC18649-1

AQ - Ground Water SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C Date Sampled: Date Received:

04/18/16 04/20/16 n/a

Method: Project:

Matrix:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Percent Solids:

Prep Batch

OP93236A

**Analytical Batch** E4M2889

Run #1 Run #2

> Initial Volume Final Volume 810 ml

Run #1 Run #2

1718-51-0

1.0 ml

DF

1

CAS No. Compound RL MDL Units Result Q 91-20-3 Naphthalene ND 0.12 0.036 ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run# 2 Limits 4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5 83% 24-125% 2-Fluorobiphenyl 321-60-8 98%

64%

Analyzed

04/21/16

19-127% 10-119%

Prep Date

04/20/16



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA14-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-1

Matrix: AQ - Ground Water Method:

SW846 8081B SW846 3510C Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04/18/16

Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids: n/a

File ID DF Analyzed By Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** 1G122360.D 04/21/16 BP OP93237 G1G3968 Run #1 1 04/20/16

Run #2

**Initial Volume** Final Volume 2.0 ml

Run #1 300 ml

Run #2

#### Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0038	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0030	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0067	0.0019	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0024	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.0067	0.0041	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.0067	0.0035	ug/I	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.0067	0.0029	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.0067	0.0044	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.013	0.0038	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.17	0.12	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	92%		26-13	12%	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	96%		26-13	12%	
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	73%		10-11	8%	
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	53%		10-11	8%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA14-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-1A

Hexanol

Matrix: Method:

Project:

111-27-3

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8015C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Run #1 a Run #2

File ID DF Analyzed XY064038.D 1

04/26/16 AFL

By

Prep Date n/a

73-123%

Prep Batch n/a

**Analytical Batch** F:GXY2768

IXIII #Z						
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	

86%

(a) Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



Project:

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: RA14D-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-2

Matrix: AQ - Ground Water Method:

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids:

Q

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	5P27668.D	1	04/21/16	]]	04/20/16	OP93236	E5P1400
Run #2	2M83248.D	200	04/21/16	AN	04/20/16	OP93236	E2M3657

Initial Volume Final Volume Run #1 810 ml 1.0 ml Run #2 810 ml 1.0 ml

#### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.0	ug/l
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	6.2	1.1	ug/l
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.5	1.6	ug/l
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	6.2	3.0	ug/l
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	12	1.9	ug/l
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	6.2	1.6	ug/l
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.5	1.1	ug/l
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.5	1.1	ug/l
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	6.2	1.2	ug/l
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	12	1.4	ug/l
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.7	ug/l
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.5	0.48	ug/l
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.8	ug/l
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.6	ug/l
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	6.2	1.1	ug/l
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.2	0.24	ug/I
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.2	0.17	ug/l
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.5	0.26	ug/I
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.2	0.26	ug/I
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.5	0.55	ug/l
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	6.2	0.36	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.2	0.25	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a) pyrene	ND	1.2	0.26	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	ND	1.2	0.25	ug/I
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.2	0.42	ug/l
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.2	0.25	ug/l
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.5	0.50	ug/l
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.56	ug/l
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.2	0.26	ug/l
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.5	0.29	ug/l
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	6.2	0.42	ug/l
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.2	0.28	ug/l



MDL = Method Detection Limit ND = Not detected

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Client Sample ID: RA14D-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-2

Matrix: AQ - Ground Water

Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids:

#### **ABN TCL Special List**

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.5	0.80	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.2	0.22	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.5	0.34	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.5	0.31	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.5	0.50	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.5	0.45	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.2	0.68	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.2	0.59	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.5	0.63	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	8280 a	250	160	ug/l	В
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.2	0.41	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	6.2	0.27	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.61	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.29	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.32	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.27	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.5	2.0	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.2	0.21	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.2	0.21	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.2	0.40	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.2	0.61	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	12	3.4	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.5	0.48	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.2	0.41	ug/l	-
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.5	0.34	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.2	0.32	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.2	0.26	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	6.2	0.34	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	6.2	0.48	ug/l	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	6.2	0.54	ug/l	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.5	0.79	ug/l	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.5	0.59	ug/l	- 0041200
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	6.2	0.27	ug/l	ar Mondo OF D
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.2	0.22	ug/l	(Ca)
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.2	0.27	ug/l	tacl Infante (8)
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.5	0.46	ug/l	tael Infante Niéndez 11 = 1888
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	CO LICENCIADO
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	48%	0% b	14-8	8%	TO LICENCIE

ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID: RA14D-GWD Lab Sample ID:

JC18649-2

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Method: Project:

Matrix:

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Percent Solids:

#### **ABN TCL Special List**

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	32%	0% b	10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	95%	0% <sup>b</sup>	39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	68%	0% <sup>հ</sup>	32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	77%	0% <sup>և</sup>	35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	89%	0% և	10-126%

(a) Result is from Run# 2

(b) Outside control limits due to dilution.





MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



### Report of Analysis

Ву

LK

Prep Date

04/20/16

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA14D-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-2 Matrix:

File ID

4M64883.D

AQ - Ground Water

DF

1

04/18/16 Date Sampled: Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids:

OP93236A

Method: Project:

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

**Analytical Batch** Prep Batch

E4M2889

Run #1 Run #2

**Initial Volume** Final Volume 810 ml Run #1 1.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No. Compound Result RL MDL Units Q 91-20-3 Naphthalene ND 0.12 0.036ug/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5 80% 24-125% 321-60-8 2-Fluorobiphenyl 95% 19-127% 1718-51-0 Terphenyl-d14 71% 10-119%

Analyzed

04/21/16



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

**E** = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

<sup>] =</sup> Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA14D-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-2

Matrix: AQ - Ground Water Method:

SW846 8081B SW846 3510C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** 1G122361.D 04/21/16 BP 04/20/16 OP93237 G1G3968 Run #1 1

Run #2

Project:

**Initial Volume** Final Volume

300 ml 2.0 ml Run #1

Run #2

#### Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0038	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0030	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0067	0.0019	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0024	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.0067	0.0041	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/I	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.0067	0.0035	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/I	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/I	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.0067	0.0029	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.0067	0.0044	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.013	0.0038	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.17	0.12	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	87%		26-13	32%	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	88%		26-13	32%	
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	67%		10-1	18%	
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	46%		10-1	18%	



MDL = Method Detection Limit ND = Not detected

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

## Report of Analysis

By

AFL

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA14D-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-2A

File ID

Matrix: AQ - Ground Water Method:

XY064039.D

SW846 8015C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

DF

1

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Prep Date F:GXY2768 n/a n/a

Run #1 a

Project:

Run #2						
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
111-27-3	Hexanol	82%		73-1	23%	

Analyzed

04/26/16

(a) Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.





MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Date Sampled:

04/18/16

Client Sample ID: RA13(5-6)

Lab Sample ID: JC18649-3 Matrix: SO - Soil

Method: SW846 8270D SW846 3546

Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids: 81.0

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF By Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Analyzed 2M83450.D Run #1 1 04/28/16 AN 04/26/16 OP93364 E2M3668

Run #2

**Initial Weight** Final Volume

Run #1 1.0 ml 31.7 g

Run #2

#### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	78	29	ug/kg	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	190	35	ug/kg	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	190	31	ug/kg	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	190	71	ug/kg	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	190	170	ug/kg	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	190	74	ug/kg	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	78	56	ug/kg	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	78	37	ug/kg	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	190	36	ug/kg	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	390	66	ug/kg	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	190	95	ug/kg	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	78	29	ug/kg	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	190	37	ug/kg	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	190	35	ug/kg	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	190	31	ug/kg	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	39	37	ug/kg	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	39	4.1	ug/kg	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	190	6.6	ug/kg	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	39	3.4	ug/kg	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	78	16	ug/kg	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	39	7.5	ug/kg	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	39	8.3	ug/kg	
205-99-2	Benzo(b) Nuoranthene	ND	39	8.0	ug/kg	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	39	12	ug/kg	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	39	8.7	ug/kg	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	78	8.9	ug/kg	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	78	21	ug/kg	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	78	7.2	ug/kg	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	20.2	190	9.7	ug/kg	J
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	78	5.6	ug/kg	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	190	10	ug/kg	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	78	4.3	ug/kg	

itael Infante Méndez

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



Client Sample ID: RA13(5-6) Lab Sample ID: JC18649-3

Matrix: SO - Soil

Method: Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

SW846 8270D SW846 3546

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids: 81.0

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	78	78 25 ug/kg		
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	39	6.3	ug/kg	
111-91-1	bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane	ND	78	8.8	ug/kg	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	78	16	ug/kg	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	78	8.9	ug/kg	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	78	7.3	ug/kg	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	39	7.3	ug/kg	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	39	10	ug/kg	
91-94-1	3,3 -Dichlorobenzidine	ND	78	25	ug/kg	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	39	14	ug/kg	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	78	5.4	ug/kg	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	78	4.6	ug/kg	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	78	5.3	ug/kg	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	78	4.9	ug/kg	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	78	5.6	ug/kg	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	78	14	ug/kg	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	39	4.8	ug/kg	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	39	4.6	ug/kg	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	78	7.7	ug/kg	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	39	10	ug/kg	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	390	62	ug/kg	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	190	13	ug/kg	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	39	20	ug/kg	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	78	7.3	ug/kg	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	78	6.3	ug/kg	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	78	7.3	ug/kg	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	190	8.8	ug/kg	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	190	11	ug/kg	
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	190	13	ug/kg	
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	78	12	ug/kg	
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	78	12	ug/kg	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	190	20	ug/kg	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	39	4.3	ug/kg	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	39	4.9	ug/kg	
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	190	9.3	ug/kg	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	69%		30-1	06%	
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	71%	30-106%			



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

 $B = Indicates \ analyte \ found \ in \ associated \ method \ blank$ 

Client Sample ID: RA13(5-6) Lab Sample ID:

JC18649-3 SO - Soil

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids: 81.0

Matrix: Method:

Project:

SW846 8270D SW846 3546 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	87%		24-140%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	84%		26-122%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	76%		36-112%
1718-51-D	Terphenyl-d14	83%		36-132%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

**E** = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sam Lab Sampl Matrix: Method: Project:	le ID: ]		9-3 il 8270D BY	SIM SW846 5 Area, PR	3546		Date	Sampled: Received: ent Solids:	04/18/16 04/20/16 81.0
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 3M60903	3.D	DF 1	Analyzed 04/27/16	By JJ	Prep D 04/26/1		Prep Batch OP93364A	_
Run #1 Run #2	Initial W 31.7 g	'eight	Final Vol	iume					
CAS No.	Compos	ınd		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
123-91-1 91 <b>-</b> 20-3	1,4-Dio Naphtha			ND ND	3.9 3.9	0.78 0.48	ug/kg ug/kg		
CAS No.	Surroga	ite Reci	veries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
4165-60-0 321-60-8 1718-51-0	Nitrober 2-Fluore Terphen	biphen	-	75% 78% 91%		12-1	38% 48% 57%		

(a) Not accredited for this compound at the time of analysis, but all method requirements were followed.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

111-27-3

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	le ID: JC18649-3 SO - Soil SW846 80150	MOD Iding 5 Area, PR			Date	Received: 0	4/18/16 4/20/16 1.0
Run #1 ª Run #2	File ID DF XY064058.D 1	Analyzed 04/27/16	By AFL	Prep D n/a	ato	Prep Batch n/a	Analytical Batch F:GXY2770
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Weight Fina 5.01 g 10.0	l Volume ml			<u>.</u>		
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	12	2.5	mg/kg		
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	12	2.5	mg/kg		
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	12	2.5	mg/kg		
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	12	2.5	mg/kg		
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	12	2.5	mg/kg		
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	12	2.5	mg/kg		
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoverie	s Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its		

(a) Sample was received in a bulk container but was not preserved within 48 hours of sampling. Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.

69-121%

134% b

(b) Outside control limits; however, sample is ND.

Hexanol



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA13(5-6) Lab Sample ID: JC18649-3

Matrix: Method:

SO - Soil

SW846 8081B SW846 3546 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids: 81.0

Q

File ID DF Ву Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Analyzed Prep Date Run #1 6G34408.D 04/25/16 BP 04/23/16 OP93322 G6G995

Run #2

Project:

Initial Weight **Final Volume** 

Run #1 15.3 g 10.0 ml

Run #2

#### Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.81	0.72	ug/kg
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.81	0.54	ug/kg
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.81	0.50	ug/kg
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.81	0.32	ug/kg
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.81	0.37	ug/kg
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.81	0.43	ug/kg
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.81	0.61	ug/kg
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.81	0.63	ug/kg
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.81	0.30	ug/kg
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.81	0.27	ug/kg
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.81	0.31	ug/kg
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.81	0.28	ug/kg
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.81	0.46	ug/kg
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.81	0.60	ug/kg
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.81	0.27	ug/kg
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.81	0.76	ug/kg
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.81	0.66	ug/kg
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.81	0.33	ug/kg
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	1.6	0.45	ug/kg
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.81	0.42	ug/kg
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	20	14	ug/kg
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts
077 00 0	T-t11	0.407		04.11	100/

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	94%		24-136%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	94%		24-136%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	86%		10-153%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	85%		10-153%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

**E** = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWS Lab Sample ID: JC18649-4

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

File ID **Analytical Batch** DF Prep Date Prep Batch Analyzed By 2P58449.D 04/21/16 RL 04/21/16 OP93271 E2P2552 Run #1 1

Run #2

Method:

Project:

**Initial Volume** Final Volume

Run #1 910 ml 1.0 ml

Run #2

#### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.5	0.90	ug/l	
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.5	0.98	ug/l	
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.2	1.4	ug/l	
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.5	2.7	ug/l	
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	11	1.7	ug/l	
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.5	1.4	ug/l	
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.2	0.98	ug/l	
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.2	0.97	ug/I	
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.5	1.1	ug/l	
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	11	1.3	ug/l	
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	5.5	1.5	ug/l	
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.2	0.43	ug/l	
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.5	1.6	ug/l	
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.5	1.5	ug/l	
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.5	1.0	ug/l	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.1	0.21	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.1	0.15	ug/l	
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.2	0.23	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.2	0.49	ug/l	
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.5	0.32	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.1	0.37	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k) fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.2	0.44	ug/l	
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.50	ug/l	
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.2	0.26	ug/l	
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.5	0.37	ug/l	
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.1	0.25	ug/l	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWS JC18649-4 Lab Sample ID:

Matrix:

Method: Project:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

#### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q		
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.2	0.71	ug/l			
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l			
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.2	0.31	ug/l			
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.2	0.27	ug/l			
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.2	0.44	ug/l			
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.2	0.40	ug/l			
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1:1	0.61	ug/l			
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.52	ug/l			
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.2	0.56	ug/l			
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	18.0	1:1	0.72	ug/l			
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l			
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.5	0.24	ug/l			
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.55	ug/l			
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.26	ug/l			
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.29	ug/l			
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.24	ug/l			
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.2	1.8	ug/l			
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l			
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l			
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l			
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.1	0.54	ug/l			
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	11	3.1	ug/l			
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.2	0.43	ug/l			
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l			
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.2	0.30	ug/l			
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l			
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.5	0.30	ug/l			
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.5	0.43	ug/l			
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.5	0.48	ug/l			
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.2	0.71	ug/l			
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.2	0.53	ug/l			
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.5	0.24	ug/l			
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l			
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l			
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.2	0.41	ug/l			
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its			
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	54%		14-8	8%			
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	37%		10-1	10%			



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit **E** = Indicates value exceeds calibration range J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWS Lab Sample ID: JC18649-4

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

Method: Project: SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04
Date Received: 04

04/18/16 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

# 4

### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	107%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	90%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	97%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	88%		10-126%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWS Lab Sample ID: JC18649-4

Matrix: AQ - Ground Water Method:

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids:

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 4M64891.D 04/21/16 LK 04/21/16 OP93271A E4M2890 1 Run #2

Initial Volume Final Volume 910 ml Run #1 1.0 ml Run #2

CAS No. Compound Result RL MDL Units Q 91-20-3 Naphthalene ND 0.11 0.032ug/l CAS No. Run#1 Surrogate Recoveries Run#2 Limite 4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5 120% 24-125% 321-60-8 2-Fluorobiphenyl 145% a 19-127% 1718-51-0 Terphenyl-d14 89% 10-119%

(a) High percent recoveries and no positive found in the sample.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

## Report of Analysis

By

BP

04/20/16

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWS

File ID

300 ml

1G122362.D

JC18649-4

Lab Sample ID: Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

1

Date Sampled: 04/18/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Method:

SW846 8081B SW846 3510C

Percent Solids: n/a

Q

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

**Analytical Batch** Prep Date

Run #1

DF Analyzed

04/21/16

Prep Batch OP93237 G1G3968

Run #2

Initial Volume **Final Volume** 

Run #1

2.0 ml

Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0038	ug/l
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0030	ug/l
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0067	0.0019	ug/l
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0024	ug/l
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.0067	0.0041	ug/l
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.0067	0.0035	ug/l
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/I
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.0067	0.0029	ug/l
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.0067	0.0044	ug/l
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.013	0.0038	ug/l
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.17	0.12	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	92%		26-13	32%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	100%		26-13	32%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	83%		10-11	18%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	58%		10-11	18%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWS Lab Sample ID:

File ID

Hexanol

JC18649-4A

Date Sampled:

04/18/16 04/20/16

F:GXY2768

Matrix: Method:

SGS Accutest

AQ - Ground Water SW846 8015C

Date Received: Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

**Analytical Batch** DF By Prep Date Prep Batch Analyzed

73-123%

111-27-3

XY064040.D 04/26/16 AFL Run #1 a 1 n/a n/a Run #2 CAS No. RL MDL Units Q Compound Result 64-17-5 Ethanol ND 5.0 1.0 mg/l 78-83-1 **Isobutyl Alcohol** ND 5.0 1.0 mg/l 67-63-0 Isopropyl Alcohol 5.0 1.0 ND mg/l 71-23-8 n-Propyl Alcohol ND 5.0 1.0 mg/l 71-36-3 n-Butyl Alcohol ND 5.0 1.0 mg/l 67-56-1 Methanol ND 5.0 1.0 mg/l CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits

99%

(a) Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

**E** = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

## Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-5

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

Method: Project:

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

04/19/16 Date Sampled: Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Run #1	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
	5P27670.D	1	04/21/16	]]	04/20/16	OP93236	E5P1400
Run #2	2M83249.D	2	04/21/16	AN	04/20/16	OP93236	E2M3657

Initial Volume Final Volume Run #1 800 ml 1.0 ml Run #2 800 ml 1.0 ml

#### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Unite
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	6.3	1.0	ug/l
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	6.3	1.1	ug/l
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.5	1.6	ug/l
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	6.3	3.1	ug/l
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	13	1.9	ug/l
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	6.3	1.6	ug/l
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.5	1.1	ug/l
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.5	1.1	ug/l
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	6.3	1.2	ug/l
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	13	1.4	ug/l
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	6.3	1.7	ug/l
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.5	0.49	ug/l
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	6.3	1.8	ug/l
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	6.3	1.7	ug/l
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	6.3	1.2	ug/l
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.3	0.24	ug/l
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.3	0.17	ug/l
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.5	0.26	ug/l
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.3	0.26	ug/l
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.5	0.56	ug/l
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	6.3	0.36	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.3	0.25	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a) pyrene	ND	1.3	0.27	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	ND	1.3	0.26	ug/l
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.3	0.43	ug/l
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.3	0.26	ug/l
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.5	0.51	ug/l
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.57	ug/l
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.3	0.27	ug/i
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.5	0.30	ug/l
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	6.3	0.43	ug/l
86-74-8	Carbazole :	ND	1.3	0.29	ug/l

itael Infante Méndez tc € 1888

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



Client Sample ID: RA13-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-5 Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 04/19/16 Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids:

Method: Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

#### ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.5	0.81	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.3	0.22	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.5	0.35	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.5	0.31	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.5	0.50	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.5	0.46	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.3	0.69	ug/I	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.3	0.60	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.5	0.63	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	193 a	2.5	1.6	ug/l	В
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.3	0.41	ug/I	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	6.3	0.28	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.62	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.29	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.33	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.5	0.27	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	3.7	2.5	2.1	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.3	0.21	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.3	0.21	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.3	0.41	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.3	0.62	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	13	3.5	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.5	0.49	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.3	0.42	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.5	0.35	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.3	0.33	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.3	0.26	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	6.3	0.35	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	6.3	0.48	ug/i	1 3 V 6
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	6.3	0.55	ug/l	181
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.5	0.80	ug/l	1/3
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.5	0.60	_	
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	6.3	0.28	ug/l ug/l	*
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.3	0.28	ug/l	H 250,000
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.3	0.22	ug/l	1/1/1
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.5	0.46		1
33-34-3	1,2,2,3-1 EU ACHUN OVENZENE	ND	2.3	0.40	ug/l	1
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
007 10 1	0.73	100/	4004		00/	

367-12-4 2-Fluorophenol 40% 43% 14-88%

ND = Not detectedMDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

tael Infante Méndez 14 = 1888

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWD Lab Sample ID:

Matrix:

JC18649-5

Method: Project:

AQ - Ground Water SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: Date Received:

04/19/16 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

#### **ABN TCL Special List**

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	27%	30%	10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	78%	78%	39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	61%	70%	32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	67%	67%	35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	76%	70%	10-126%

(a) Result is from Run# 2



E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	RA13-GWD
Lah Sample ID:	IC18649-5

Matrix: Method:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/19/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Q

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	4M64884.D	1	04/21/16	LK	04/20/16	OP93236A	E4M2889

Run #2

Project:

İ	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	800 ml	1.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	0.13	0.037	ug/i
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2 Limits		te
4165-60-0 321-60-8 1718-51-0	Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl Terphenyl-d14	75% 86% 61%		24-12 19-12 10-11	27%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

## Report of Analysis

By

BP

04/21/16

Prep Date

04/20/16

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-5

1G122363.D

Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

1

Method: SW846 8081B SW846 3510C Project:

Date Sampled: 04/19/16 Date Received: 04/20/16 Percent Solids: n/a

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF Analyzed

**Analytical Batch** Prep Batch G1G3968 OP93237

Run #1 Run #2

> Initial Volume Final Volume

Run #1 300 ml 2.0 ml

Run #2

#### Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/i	
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l	
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0038	ug/l	
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0030	ug/l	
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0067	0.0019	ug/l	
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l	
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l	
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0024	ug/l	
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l	
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.0067	0.0041	ug/l	
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l	
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.0067	0.0035	ug/l	
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l	
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l	
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.0067	0.0029	ug/l	
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l	
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.0067	0.0044	ug/l	
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.013	0.0038	ug/l	
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.17	0.12	ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limit	8	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	66%		26-13	2%	
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	81%		26-13	2%	
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	33%		10-11	8%	
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	26%		10-11	8%	



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: RA13-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC18649-5A

Matrix: Method: AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8015C

Date Sampled: 04/19/16 Date Received: 04/20/16

Percent Solids: n/a

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Project:

Run #1 ª Run #2	File ID XY064041.D	DF 1	Analyzed 04/26/16	By AFL	Prep D n/a	ate	Prep Batch n/a	Analytical Batch F:GXY2768
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
64-17-5	Ethanol		ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol		ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcoho		ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcoho	1	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol		ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
67-56-1	Methanol		ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l		
CAS No.	Surrogate Reco	veries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its		
111-27-3	Hexanol		96%		73-1	23%		

(a) Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



SGS ACCU		2235 8	N OF (STIS Accurest - tous 130, Days 14000 FAX:	Dayson on, NJ 088 732-129-34	10	Y		A coe	Charlest Qu	-	5360		SCHOOL COM	PAGE	JC	8649
Anderson Mulholland Associac		ersc A	SCSIN			**15			20	2	VZ.		nest cz	de a		DW - Drinning via DW - Ground Wat WW - Water SW - Surface Wat
2700 West charter Purchase NY Terry Tallor 914-251-0400	Princial S	PR	Company Hares Servet Address Cay		Sinte	<b>Liptor</b> 10.	20	1 22 Jo	0	Method 8	3 4 8					SO - Soil BL- Statge SEX-Settment OI - OB LIQ - Other Lique ARI - Air SOL - Ower Seas
Terry Taylor, Negtor Rivery Davide	indstrand	Cohecium	Affinishers.				4 J	SVOC - Asked	MA-Meth	gicides	Action 92					WP - Wypa FB-Fung Blace EB-Equipment Blace RB-Rinne Blace TB-Trip Blace.
Field ID / Point of Collection  RAIA-GWD  RAIAD-GWD  RAIAD-GWD  RAIAD-GWD	• 4/18/11 4/18/11	1200	TT GW	777	3	95 4	MED MED	×	X	XX	\ \ \ \					E34 VIO99
Y RAIS-GWS	1 4/19/16	1715	NR SO TT GW TT GW	7	3	2 4 4	#	X	Y X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X						F53
								INITI	VI. AS	ERSME	N Z	Αυ	-			
Turnettured Trace ( Business days )	Park the same of t	Andrew Street, or other Persons and Person	park bay	Data D				LARI		RIFICA.		D.		Hermit bracky,	la l	
San, 10 Business Days. For Soil  S Day Massi  3 Day Rusen  1 Day Rusen  1 Day Rusen	Approved by 1986 American Pale, I Day		Common	mi "A" (Leo ini "B" ( Leo Leoni 3-4 ) ed ini "C"	of 1) of 2)	00000	MYASP Ca MYASP Ca Stoto Porm EDD Form Debar	tegery A tegery B ts	_	Aid	t.R	epar SVO	t:1- c by	Met.		Halane.
To present for Aqueous	Bampia Custody o	)EV	Crimercul "A" o I El Reducad - Par	of Kratama () homely () no., halls = ()() Se h (Strong scales)	Carmonous		100 m			ample Svery.		y is ve	offied up	on receip	c in the Let	eratory

JC18649: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 2

#### **EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE**

SDG No:

JC18649

Laboratory:

Accutest, Florida

Analysis:

SW846-8015C

Number of Samples:

c

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) groundwater samples and one (1) soil sample were analyzed for the low molecular weight alcohols (LMWAs) list following method SW846-8015C. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846 (Final Update III, December 1996)," specifically for Methods 8000/8015C are utilized. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

**Critical findings:** 

None

**Major findings:** 

None

**Minor findings:** 

1. All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time. All samples properly preserved except sample JC18649-3 (Soil) that was not preserved within 48

hours of sampling. Results qualified as estimated (J) in the affected sample.

2. n-Hexanol (surrogate) recovered above the laboratory control limit in sample JC18649-

3 (soil). No action taken, none of the alcohols were detected in the affected sample.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

May 14, 2016

Date:

#### SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC18649-1A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/18/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

<b>Analyte Name</b>	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	•	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/i	1.0	•	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC18649-2A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/18/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	υ	Yes

Sample ID: JC18649-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/18/2016

Matrix: Soil

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	נט	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	IJ	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
Methanol	12	mg/Kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes

Sample ID: JC18649-4A

. . . .

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/18/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Methanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC18649-5A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/19/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	•	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	บ	Yes
Methanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

	Project Number:JC18649
	Date:04/18-19/2016
	Shipping Date:04/19/2016
	EPA Region: 2
REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGA	
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics was actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using predecision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW specifically for Methods 8000/8015C are utilized. The QC or data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest reviewed and the quality control and performance data sum included:	rofessional judgment to make more informed the sample results were assessed according to any order of precedence: "Test Methods for 1-846 (Final Update III, December 1996), iteria and data validation actions listed on the tent, unless otherwise noted.  data_package_received_has_been
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC18649 No. of Samples:5	Sample matrix:Groundwater/Soil
Trip blank No.:	
Field blank No.:	
Equipment blank No.:	
Field duplicate No.:JC18649-1A/-2A	
•	
X Data Completeness	X Laboratory Control Spikes
X Holding Times	X Field Duplicates
N/A_ GC/MS Tuning	X Calibrations
N/A_ Internal Standard Performance	X Compound Identifications
X Blanks	X Compound Quantitation
X Surrogate Recoveries	X Quantitation Limits
X Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Overall Comments:_Low_molecular_weight_alcohols_b	y_SW-846_8015C
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results	
U- Compound not detected	
R- Rejected data	
UJ- Estimated nondetect	
Reviewer: Cafaul Maint	
Date:May_14,_2016	

# **DATA COMPLETENESS**

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
4	<u> </u>	
No.		
39		
- 1		
- N		
5		
3 12		<u> </u>
	100	<u></u>
		V.
		- 30
		100
S		

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

#### **HOLDING TIMES**

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pН	ACTION
All samples	analyzed within the re	commended method he	olding time	e. All samples properly
preserved exce	ept sample JC18649-3	(Soil) that was not pre-	served wit	hin 48 hours of sampling.
-	Results qualified a	is estimated (J) in the a	affected sa	ample.
			+	
			+	
			_l	

#### <u>Criteria</u>

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH  $\leq$  2, 4°C), no air hubbles

Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C, no air bubbles.

Soil samples- 7 days from sample collection.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 5.7°C

#### Actions

If the VOCs vial(s) have air bubbles, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If the % solids of soil samples is 10-50%, estimates positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solid of soil samples is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are exceeded but < 14 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are exceeded but < 28 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are grossly exceeded (> 28 days beyond criteria), reject all results (R).

If samples were not iced or if the ice were melted (> 10°C), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

List

All criteria were metN/A_ Criteria were not met see below	
GC/MS TUNING	
The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits	•
N/A_ The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.	
_N/A_ BFB tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.	
f no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted qualified or rejected.	,

affected:

samples

If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

the

All criteria were met _X_	_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

#### CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	04/26/16
Dates of continuing calibrati	ion:_04/26/16 (initial);_04/26/16;_04/27/16
Dates of final calibration ver	rification:04/26/16;_04/27/16
Instrument ID number:	VOA5
Matrix/Level:	Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED

Note: Initial, continuing, and final calibration verifications meets method specific criteria.

#### Criteria

All RFs must be > 0.05 regardless of method requirements for SPCC.

All %RSD must be < 15 % regardless of method requirements for CCC.

All %Ds must be < 20% regardless of method requirements for CCC.

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify criterion for the curve correlation coefficient (r). A limit for r of  $\geq$  0.995 has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

#### **Actions**

If any compound has an initial RF or a continuing RF of < 0.05, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R), regardless of method requirements.

If any compound has a %RSD > 15%, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If any compound has a %RSD > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If any compound has a % D > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has r < 0.995, estimate positive results and nondetects.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see belowX	

## V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LABID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
			fic_criteria	
Field/Equipmen	<del>-</del>			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_field/trip/e	quipment_blank	s_included_in_	this_data_package	
			- Marie - Mari	

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

# VB. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

#### **Blank Actions**

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

ALs = 10x the amount of common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, 2-butanone, and toluene)

ALs = 5x for any other compounds

Specific actions are as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and  $\le$  AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL but  $\leq$  AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL and > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

#### Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
				1000
				ALCOHOLD !
			ALL PROPERTY.	
ı				
		-		
- 100				
				L
	COMPOUND	COMPOUND CONC/UNITS	COMPOUND CONC/UNITS AL/UNITS	COMPOUND CONC/UNITS AL/UNITS SQL

All criteria were met	_X	
Criteria were not met	1500	
and/or see below		

#### SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID SURROGATE COMPOUND **ACTION** Hexanol DBFM TOL-d8 BFB \_All\_surrogate\_recoveries\_within\_laboratory\_control\_limits\_except\_for\_the\_following:\_\_\_ JC18649-3 134 % No\_action\_none\_ of the alcohols detected in the sample. QC Limits\* (Aqueous) \_LL\_to\_UL\_\_\_\_\_69\_to\_121\_ \_\_\_to\_\_\_\_\_ to\_\_\_\_\_to\_\_\_\_ QC Limits\* (Solid-Low) LL to UL \_ to\_\_ QC Limits\* (Solid-Med) LL to\_UL\_\_\_\_ \_to\_\_\_\_ 1.2-DCA = 1.2-Dichloromethane-d4 TOL-d8 = Toluene-d8DBFM = Dibromofluoromethane BFB = Bromofluorobenzene

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 80 120 % for aqueous and 70 130 % for solid samples.

#### Actions:

QUALITY	%R < 10%	%R = 10% - LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetects results	R	ΠΊ	Accept

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%. If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

#### VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

#### 1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC18516-4AMS/-1MSD Sample ID:JC18516-2AMS/-1MSD			_	Matrix/Level:Groundwate Matrix/Level:So		
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION	
_MS/MSD_%_re	ecoveries_and_RPD_	within_lab	oratory_	control_limits		_

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

#### Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

				Criteria v	a were metX vere not met se below
VII. B MATR	IX SPIKE/MATR	IX SPIKE DUPLICA	ATE		
MS/MSD - Uns	spiked Compoun	nds			
					ia for the unspiked essional judgment.
If all target ana	lytes were spike	d in the MS/MSD, t	his review eleme	nt is not appli	cable.
List the %RSD	of the compound	ds which do not me	et the criteria.		
Sample ID:			Matrix/Le	vel/Unit:	
COMPOUND	SAMPLE CONC.	MS CONC.	MSD CONC.	% RSD	ACTION
					The state of the s
				-	

# Actions:

<sup>\*</sup> If the % RSD > 50, qualify the positive result in the unspiked samples as estimated (J).
\* If the % RSD is not calculated (NC) due to nondetected value, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

All criteria were met _	Х_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

# VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

#### 1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD? **Yes** or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

	LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT					
Recoveries_within_laboratory_control_limits									
		9 7 2 20 10							

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

#### Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

# 2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

			All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
IX.	FIELD/LABOR	ATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION	
	Sample IDs:	_JC18649-1A/-2A	Matrix:Groundwater

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. Suggested criteria: RPD  $\pm$  30% for aqueous samples, RPD  $\pm$  50 % for solid samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION		
	RPD within laboratory and generally acceptable control limits.						

#### Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

Actions:

All criteria were met _	_N/A
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

#### X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

- \* Area of +100% or -50% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.
- \* Retention time (RT) within 30 seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION
					The state of the s
				(CF)	
- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1					
			-2012		

1. IS actions should be applied to the compound quantitated with the out-of-control ISs

QUALITY	IS AREA < -25%	IS AREA = -25 %	IS AREA > + 100%
		TO – 50%	
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetected results	R	UJ	ACCEPT

If a IS retention time varies more than 30 seconds, the chromatographic profile for that sample must be examined to determine if any false positive or negative exists. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for the sample fraction.

All criteria were met _	Х_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

# XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

JC18649-1

Hexanol

RF = 9630

[] = (826124)/(9630)

= 85.8 ppm OK

All criteria were met _	х_	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		

# XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS

# A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
N		
	AND ASSESSED.	
-		
223		

B.	Percent Solids
	List samples which have ≤ 50 % solids
Action	s:
	If the % solids of a soil sample is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (U
	If the % solids of a soil sample is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetective (R)

#### **EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE**

SDG No:

JC18649

Laboratory:

**Accutest, New Jersey** 

Analysis:

SW846-8081B

Number of Samples:

5

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

**SUMMARY:** 

Four (4) groundwater samples and one (1) soil sample were analyzed for selected pesticides following method SW846-8081B. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticide Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Critical issues:** 

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

**Critical findings:** 

None

**Major findings:** 

None

Minor findings:

1.  $\alpha$ -Chlordane MS/MSD recoveries outside the laboratory control limits for the aqueous matrix analyzed.  $\alpha$ -Chlordane, not detected in affected samples,

no action taken

2. No information of Florisil cartridge performance check included in data package. Florisil cartridges were used for sample preparation of soil samples.

No qualification of the data, professional judgment.

**COMMENTS:** 

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Reviewers Name:** 

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 14, 2016

#### SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC18649-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 18-Apr-16
Matrix: Groundwater

MILTIN	JD. 0001B					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.0067	ug/L	1 ,	92	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.0067	ug/L	1		U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.0067	ug/L	1	12	Ų	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.013	ug/L	1	25	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.17	ug/L	1	· ·	U	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 18-Apr-16
Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	ប	Yes
Endrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.013	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.17	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 18-Apr-16

Matrix: Soil

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.81	ug/Kg	1	•	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-ĐDT	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.81	ug/Kg	1	73	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.81	ug/Kg	1	27	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.81	ug/Kg	1	52	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.81	ug/Kg	1	41	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.81	ug/Kg	1	8-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.81	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	1.6	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.81	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	20	ug/Kg	1	5	U	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 18-Apr-16
Matrix: Groundwater

141211	OD. 00015					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	2	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	υ	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	ប	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-i	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0067	ug/L	1	8.	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	IJ	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.013	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.17	ug/L	1	2	U	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 19-Apr-16

Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0067	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.0067	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
Endrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	_	Ü	Yes
Endosulfan suifate	0.0067	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.0067	ug/L	1	_	Ü	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.0067	ug/L	1	_	Ü	Yes
Endosulfan-l	0.0067	ug/L	1	_	Ü	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	Ü	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0067	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0067	_	1	-	U	
·		ug/L	_	-	•	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.013	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.17	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

	Sampling Date:April_18-19,_2016 Shipping Date:April_19,_2016
	EPA Region No.:2
REVIEW OF PESTICIDE ORGA	ANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile required validation actions. This document will assigned judgment to make more informed decision and in users. The sample results were assessed according documents in the following order of precedence Ha HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticided data validation actions listed on the data review guidance document, unless otherwise noted.	bist the reviewer in using professional better serving the needs of the data of the USEPA data validation guidance pardous Waste Support Section SOP No. Data Validation. The QC criteria and
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest	data package received has been rized. The data review for VOCs included:
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC18649 No. of Samples:5_	Sample matrix: _Groundwater/Soil
Trip blank No.: Field blank No.: Equipment blank No.: Field duplicate No.: Field spikes No.:JC18649-1/JC18649-2 QC audit samples:	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesN/A GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate  Overall Comments:TCL_pesticides_list_by_SW846-80	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
Definition of Qualifiers:  J- Estimated results  U- Compound not detected  R- Rejected data  UJ- Estimated nondetect  Reviewer:Rafuel Defauel  Date:May_14,_2016	

# DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
	<u> </u>	
100		
	-	
1		
	<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>	
7 X 30 X 3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		X.:
	<del>-</del>	
		W <sub>1</sub>
		1
<u> </u>		
	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	

All criteria were met _X	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

#### **HOLDING TIMES**

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE	DATE	ACTION
	SAMPLED	EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	

Preservatives:	All_samples	_extracted_and	_analyzed_within	_the_required	l_criteria	
_	•		- , -			

#### Criteria

Aqueous samples - seven (7) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Non-aqueous samples – fourteen (14) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 5.7°C - OK

#### <u>Actions</u>

# Qualify aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T =  $4^{\circ}$ C  $\pm$   $2^{\circ}$ C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ( $T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ ), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding times, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.

- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

# Qualify non-aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T =  $4^{\circ}$ C  $\pm$   $2^{\circ}$ C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ( $T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ ), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (UJ) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding time, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.
- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not met see below	1

GAS CHROMATOGRAPH WITH ELECTRON CAPTURE DETECTOR (GC/ECD) INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK (SECTIONS 1 TO 5)

#### 1. Resolution Check Mixture

#### Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column? Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 60.0%?

Note:

If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

#### Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

#### 2. Performance Evaluation Mixture (PEM) Resolution Criteria

#### Criteria

Is PEM analysis performed at the required frequency (at the end of each pesticide initial calibration sequence and every 12 hours)? Yes? or No?

#### Action

a. If PEM is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

#### Criteria

Is PEM % Resolution < 90%?

Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

	All criteria were met	х
Criteria	were not met see below.	

#### 3. PEM 4,4'-DDT Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

#### 4. PEM Endrin Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

All criteria were metX	_
Criteria were not met see below	

#### 5. Mid-point Individual Standard Mixture Resolution -

#### Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column?

Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 90.0%?

Yes? or No?

Note: If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

#### **Action**

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

#### Criteria

Is mid-point individual standard mixture analysis performed at the required frequency (every 12 hours)? Yes? or No?

#### Action

a. If the mid-point individual standard mixture analysis is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
stablished to ensure that the ative data.
04/14/16 04/14/16 04/25/16;_04/26/16 04/25/16;_04/26/16 HP_G1530A
SAMPLES AFFECTED
ent performance criteria. at least one of the columns.
s shown in Table 3 of SOP <u>Yes</u> ? or No?
onal judgment to evaluate the
<u>Yes</u> ? or No?
ns.

#### CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	04/01/16	04/14/16
Dates of initial calibration veri	fication:04/01/16	04/14/16
Dates of continuing calibration	n:04/21/16	04/25/16;_04/26/16
Dates of final calibration:	04/21/16	04/25/16;_04/26/16
Instrument ID numbers:	GC1G	HP_G1530A
Matrix/Level:	_Aqueous/low	

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
1-:4:-1		_11:L		41	
			ration verification within differences meet the pe		nt performance criteria. It least one of the columns.

#### Criteria

Are a five point calibration curve delivered with concentration levels as shown in Table 3 of SOP HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015?

Yes? or No?

#### **Actions**

If the standard concentrations listed in Table 3 are not used, use professional judgment to evaluate the effect on the data

#### Criteria

Are RT Windows calculated correctly?

#### Action

Recalculate the windows and use the corrected values for all evaluations.

#### Criteria

Are the Percent Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD) of the CFs for each of the single component target compounds less than or equal to 20.0%, except for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC?

Yes? or No?

Are the %RSD of the CFs for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC less than or equal to 25.0%. Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for each of the Toxaphene peaks must be < 30% when 5-point ICAL is performed?

Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for the two surrogates (tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl) less than or equal to 30.0%.

Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. If the %RSD criteria are not met, qualify detects as estimated (J) and use professional judgment to qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the %RSD criteria are within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary

### **Continuing Calibration Checks**

#### Criteria

Is the continuing calibration standard analyzed at the acceptable time intervals? Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. If more than 14 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of either a PEM or mid-point concentration of the Individual Standard Mixtures (A and B) or (C), qualify all data as unusable (R).
- b. If more than 12 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of the last sample or blank that is part of the same analytical sequence, qualify all data as unusable (R).
- c. If more than 72 hours has elapsed from the injection of the sample with a Toxaphene detection and the Toxaphene Calibration Verification Standard (CS3), qualify all data as unusable (R).

#### Criteria

Is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0% for the PEM sample?

Yes? or No?

#### Action

a. Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

#### Criteria

For the Calibration Verification Standard (CS3); is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0%? Yes? or No?

#### Action

Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

All criteria were met_	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	_

#### Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

#### Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

#### Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

#### Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

#### Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met _	X_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

#### BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contami	nation in the bla	anks below. Hig	h and low levels blanks	s must be treated separately.
CRQL concentr	ationN	/A		
Laboratory blan	ks			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL! MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		N 2281 NO.		nit_of_0.01_and_0.001_ug/L
DATE ANALYZED	LABID	LEVEL! MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
3000 00.00		1000	1000	
- 19				

All criteria were met _X_	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

## **BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)**

#### **Blank Actions**

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10  $\mu$ g/L. The concentration of each target compound found in the method or field blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Data concerning the field blanks are not evaluated as part of the CCS process. If field blanks are present, the data reviewer should evaluate this data in a similar fashion as the method blanks.

Specific actions are as follows:

### **Blank Actions for Pesticide Analyses**

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		≥ CRQL	No qualification required
Method, Sulfur		< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
Cleanup, Instrument, Field, TCLP/SPLP	> CRQL	≥ CRQL and ≤ blank concentration	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U
		≥ CRQL and > blank concentration	No qualification required
	= CRQL	≤CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
	<u>_</u> .		-	_	
	_				
	_				

All criteria were met _	Х_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

#### SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

(b) Recovery from GC signal #2

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix:_Ground	water					
Lab Sample ID	Lab File ID	S1 a	S1 b	S2 a	S2 b	
JC18649-1 JC18649-2 JC18649-4 JC18649-5 OP93237-BS1 OP93237-MB1 OP93237-MS OP93237-MSD	1G122360.D 1G122361.D 1G122362.D 1G122363.D 1G122355.D 1G122354.D 1G122364.D 1G122365.D	92 87 92 66 97 89 85	96 88 100 81 100 93 85 87	73 67 83 33 114 94 76 78	53 46 58 26 76 63 52 54	
Surrogate Compounds		Recove Limits	ery			
S1 = Tetrachloro S2 = Decachloro	•	26-1329 10-1189				
(a) Recovery fro	m GC signal #1					

	Matrix:_Soil	<u>.                                    </u>				
	Lab Sample ID	Lab File ID	S1 a	S1 b	S2 a	S2 b
	JC18649-3 OP93322-BS1 OP93322-MB1 OP93322-MS OP93322-MSD	6G34408.D 6G34377.D 6G34376.D 6G34381.D 6G34382.D	94 93 92 108 86	94 92 93 108 86	86 103 95 110 90	85 90 90 105 87
Surrogate Compounds		Recovery Limits				
	S1 = Tetrachloro S2 = Decachloro	•	24-136 <sup>9</sup> 10-153 <sup>9</sup>			
	(a) Recovery fro	m GC signal #1			(h) Rec	overy from

(a) Recovery from GC signal #1

(b) Recovery from GC signal #2

Note: Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits.

#### Actions:

- a. For any surrogate recovery greater than 150%, qualify detected target compounds as biased high (J+).
- b. Do not qualify non-detected target compounds for surrogate recovery > 150 %.
- c. If both surrogate recoveries are greater than or equal to 30% and less than or equal to 150%, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
- e. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- f. If low surrogate recoveries are from sample dilution, professional judgment should be used to determine if the resulting data should be qualified. If sample dilution is not a factor:
  - i. Qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
  - ii. Qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- g. If surrogate RTs in PEMs, Individual Standard Mixtures, samples, and blanks are outside of the RT Windows, the reviewer must use professional judgment to qualify data.
- h. If surrogate RTs are within RT windows, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- i. If the two surrogates were not added to all samples, MS/MSDs, standards, LCSs, and blanks, use professional judgment in qualifying data as missing surrogate analyte may not directly apply to target analytes.

# Summary Surrogate Actions for Pesticide Analyses

	Action*			
Criteria	Detected Target Compounds	Non-detected Target Compounds		
%R > 150%	J+	No qualification		
30% < %R < 150%	No qualification			
10% < %R < 30%	J-	UJ		
%R < 10% (sample dilution not a factor)	J-	R		
%R < 10% (sample dilution is a factor)	Use professional judgment			
RT out of RT window	Use professional judgment			
RT within RT window	No qualification			

Use professional judgment in qualifying data, as surrogate recovery problems may not directly apply to target analytes.

Action

All criteria wei	re met
Criteria were i	nol mel
and/or see be	lowX

# MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

### 1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if a field blank was used for the MS and MSD, unless designated as such by the Region.

NOTE: For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC18649-1_MS/MSD Sample ID:JC18834-10_MS/MSD					/Level:Groundwater /Level:Soil
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
	except_for_the_follov		%_reco\ 	veries_and_RPD 	_within_laboratory
		_300/300_		38160	No_action;_alpha Chlordane_not
					detected_in_affected_
				·	sampleProfessional
	<u>.</u>				judgment

No qualification of the data is necessary on MS and MSD data alone. However, using professional judgment, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met_	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

# LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

### 1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)
gamma-BHC	50 – 120
Heptachlor epoxide	50 – 150
Dieldrin	30 – 130
4,4'-DDE	50 – 150
Endrin	50 – 120
Endosulfan sulfate	50 – 120
trans-Chlordane	30 – 130
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surrogate)	30 – 150
Decachlorobiphenyl (surrogate)	30 – 150

LC	S concentrations	:0.167_ug/L;_16.7_ug/l	⟨g	
List the %R	of compounds w	hich do not meet the criteria	1	
	LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
			-	

### Action

The following guidance is suggested for qualifying sample data for which the associated LCS does not meet the required criteria.

- a. If the LCS recovery exceeds the upper acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the LCS recovery is less than the lower acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. Use professional judgment to qualify data for compounds other than those compounds that are included in the LCS.
- d. Use professional judgment to qualify non-LCS compounds. Take into account the compound class, compound recovery efficiency, analytical problems associated with each compound, and comparability in the performance of the LCS compound to the non-LCS compound.
- e. If the LCS recovery is within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary.

# 2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowN/A

### FLORISIL CARTRIDGE PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: Florisil cartridge cleanup is mandatory for all extracts.

### Criteria

Is the Florisil cartridge performance check conducted at least once on each lot of cartridges used for sample cleanup or every 6 months, whichever is most frequent?

Yes? or No?

N/A

### Criteria

Are the results for the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check solution included with the data package?

Yes? or No?

N/A

Note: If % criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of polar interferences and use professional judgment in qualifying the data as follows:

### Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is greater than 120% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (JJ) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J) and qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- e. If the Percent Recovery of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check is greater than or equal to 5%, use professional judgment to qualify detected and non-detected target compounds, considering interference on the sample chromatogram.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check analysis not yielding acceptable results.

Note:\_ No information for florisil cartridge performance check included in data package. Florisil cartridge used for sample extraction/clean-up. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

All criteria were met _	N/A
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

# GEL PERMEATION CHROMATOGRAPHY (GPC) PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: GPC cleanup is mandatory for all soil samples.

If GPC criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of high molecular weight contaminants; examine subsequent sample data for unusual peaks; and use professional judgment in qualifying the data. Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the laboratory chooses to analyze samples under unacceptable GPC criteria.

### Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, the non-detected target compounds may be suspect, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J).
- b. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify all non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and is less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the GPC calibration, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- e. If high recoveries (i.e., greater than 120%) were obtained for the pesticides and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the GPC cleanup analyses not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for performance of GPC cleanup included in data package. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not met	91375
and/or see below	

### TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

#### Criteria:

- 1. Is Retention Times (RTs) of both of the surrogates and reported target compounds in each sample within the calculated RT Windows on both columns? Yes? or No?
- 2. Is the Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCX) RT  $\pm 0.05$  minutes of the Mean RT (RT) determined from the initial calibration and Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) within  $\pm 0.10$  minutes of the RT determined from the initial calibration? Yes? or No?
- 3. Is the Percent Difference (%D) for the detected mean concentrations of a pesticide target compound between the two Gas Chromatograph (GC) columns within the inclusive range of  $\pm$  25.0 %?

  Yes? or No?
- 4. When no analytes are identified in a sample; are the chromatograms from the analyses of the sample extract and the low-point standard of the initial calibration associated with those analyses on the same scaling factor?

  Yes? or No?
- 5. Does the chromatograms display the Single Component Pesticides (SCPs) detected in the sample and the largest peak of any multi-component analyte detected in the sample at less than full scale.

  Yes? or No?
- 6. If an extract is diluted; does the chromatogram display SCPs peaks between 10-100% of full scale, and multi-component analytes between 25-100% of full scale? Yes? or No? N/A
- 7. For any sample; does the baseline of the chromatogram return to below 50% of full scale before the elution time of alpha-BHC, and also return to below 25% of full scale after the elution time of alpha-BHC and before the elution time of DCB?

  Yes? or No?
- 8. If a chromatogram is replotted electronically to meet these requirements; is the scaling factor used displayed on the chromatogram, and both the initial chromatogram and the replotted chromatogram submitted in the data package.

  Yes? or No?

#### Action:

- a. If the qualitative criteria for both columns were not met, all target compounds that are reported as detected should be considered non-detected.
- b. Use professional judgment to assign an appropriate quantitation limit using the following guidance:
  - If the detected target compound peak was sufficiently outside the pesticide RT Window, the reported values may be a false positive and should be replaced with the sample Contract Required Quantitation Limits (CRQL) value.

- ii. If the detected target compound peak poses an interference with potential detection of another target peak, the reported value should be considered and qualified as unusable (R).
- c. If the data reviewer identifies a peak in both GC column analyses that falls within the appropriate RT Windows, but was reported as a non-detect, the compound may be a false negative. Use professional judgment to decide if the compound should be included.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative all conclusions made regarding target compound identification.

- d. If the Toxaphene peak RT windows determined from the calibration overlap with SCPs or chromatographic interferences, use professional judgment to qualify the data.
- e. If target compounds were detected on both GC columns, and the Percent Difference between the two results is greater than 25.0%, consider the potential for coelution and use professional judgment to decide whether a much larger concentration obtained on one column versus the other indicates the presence of an interfering compound. If an interfering compound is indicated, use professional judgment to determine how best to report, and if necessary, qualify the data according to these guidelines.
- f. If Toxaphene exhibits a marginal pattern-matching quality, use professional judgment to establish whether the differences are due to environmental "weathering" (i.e., degradation of the earlier eluting peaks relative to the later eluting peaks). If the presence of Toxaphene is strongly suggested, report results as presumptively present (N).

# GAS CHROMATOGRAPH/MASS SPECTROMETER (GC/MS) CONFIRMATION

NOTE: This confirmation is not usually provided by the laboratory. In cases where it is provided, use professional judgment to determine if data qualified with "C" can be salvaged if it was previously qualified as unusable (R).

### Action:

- a. If the quantitative criteria for both columns were met ( $\geq 5.0$  ng/µL for SCPs and  $\geq 125$  ng/µL for Toxaphene), determine whether GC/MS confirmation was performed. If it was performed, qualify the data using the following quidance:
  - i. If GC/MS confirmation was not required because the quantitative criteria for both columns was not met, but it was still performed, use professional judgment when evaluating the data to decide whether the detect should be qualified with "C".
  - ii. If GC/MS confirmation was performed, but unsuccessful for a target compound detected by GC/ECD analysis, qualify those detects as "X".

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

# COMPOUND QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

op93237-bs1 (Blank Spike)

**ENDOSULFAN I** 

RF = 1.095

[] =

(37126579)(50)/(59858389)(1.095)

= 28.3 ppb

Ok

### Action:

- a. If sample quantitation is different from the reported value, qualify result as unusable (R).
- b. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQLs from the diluted sample.
- c. Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and its corresponding value on the original reporting form and substituting the data from the diluted sample.
- d. Results between the MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated (J).
- e. Results less than the MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified (U). MDLs themselves are not reported.
- f. For non-aqueous samples, if the percent moisture is less than 70.0%, no qualification of the data is necessary. If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 70.0% and less than 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as approximated (UJ). If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R) (see Table).

# Percent Moisture Actions for Pesticide Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria		Action				
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds				
% Moisture < 70.0	No qualification					
70.0 < % Moisture < 90.0	J					
% Moisture > 90.0	J R					

ist sam	iples w	/hich h	ave ≤ 5	50 % sc	olids						
										 _	_
								 	_	 	
					·		_				
			·							 	
				_					_	 	
	_									 	

Note: If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.

# Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
		<u> </u>

All criteria were met	Х
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

### FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

NOTE: In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. If large RPDs (> 50%) is observed, confirm identification of samples and note difference in the executive summary.

Sample IDs:JC18649-		JC18649-1/J	C18649-2	Matrix:	Groundwater
COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
		RPD within the	e required criteria of <	50 %.	
<del></del> _					

### Actions:

- a. Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.
- b. If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:
  - i. If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).
  - ii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
  - iii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
  - iv. If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

### **OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF DATA**

### Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- 2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data.

Note: The Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) must be informed if any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

Overall assessment of the data: Results are valid; the data can be used for decision making purposes.

#### **EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE**

SDG No:

JC18649

Laboratory:

**Accutest, New Jersey** 

Analysis:

SW846-8270D

**Number of Samples:** 

5

Location:

**BMSMC**, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

**SUMMARY:** 

Four (4) groundwater samples and one (1) soil sample were analyzed for the ABN TCL list following method SW846-8270D; Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane were also analyzed by SW846-8270D using the selective ion monitoring (SIM) technique. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 – Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Critical issues:** 

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

**Critical findings:** 

None

**Major findings:** 

None

**Minor findings:** 

- 1. Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria. Analytes not meeting the method % difference criteria meet the guidance document performance criteria for continuing calibration verification of  $\pm 40$  %, no action taken. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.
- 2. 1,4-Dioxane detected in the method blank below the reporting limit. Affected samples were JC18649-1; -2; and 5. No action taken 1,4-Dioxane detected above reporting limits in all samples. Laboratory qualified the results (B), no further qualification necessary.
- 3. 2-Flurobiphenyl (surrogate) recovered above the control limit in sample JC18649-4 (SIM). Surrogates not recovered in samples JC18649-1 and JC18649-2 due to dilution no

action taken.

**COMMENTS:** 

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Reviewers Name:** 

Rafael Infante

**Chemist License 1888** 

Signature:

Date:

May 14, 2016

# SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC18649-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/18/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	12	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	12	ug/L	1	-	υ	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.2	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	Ü	Yes

Analyte Name	Result	Linite	Dilution Factor	Lob Floo	Validation	Donortoble
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.5	ug/L	Dilution Factor 1	Lab Flag	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.2	=	1		U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.5	ug/L	1		U	
1,4-Dixane	7880	ug/L		- В		Yes Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.2	ug/L ug/L	200 1		- U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	6.2	ug/L ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.5	_	1	-	U	
Di-n-octyl phthalate		ug/L		-		Yes
* *	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	Ü	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	12	ug/L	1	-	IJ	Yes
Hexachloroethane	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
		-				
METHOD:	8270D (SI	M)				
Naphthalene	0.12	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Analyte Name Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC18649-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/18/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	12	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	12	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	Ü	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.2	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

IVIETROD.			_			
Anaiyte Name	Result		Dilution Factor	Lab Flag		-
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	8280	ug/L	200	В	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	6.2	ug/L	1	-	Ų	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	12	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	υ	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	6.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	6.2	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.2	ug/L	1	_	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.2	ug/L	1	44	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
		<b>J</b> .				
METHOD:	8270D (SI	M)				
Naphthalene	0.12	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
·		<u> </u>				

Analyte Name Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC18649-3

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/18/2016

Matrix: Soil

Analyte Name	Result	Units D	ilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	190	ug/Kg	1	2-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	190	ug/Kg	1	77	Ų	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	190	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	190	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	190	ug/Kg	1	77.	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	78	ug/Kg	1	2-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	78	ug/Kg	1	*	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	190	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	390	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	190	ug/Kg	1	27	U	Yes
Phenol	78	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	190	ug/Kg	1	*	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	190	ug/Kg	1	7	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	190	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	39	ug/Kg	1	•	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	190	ug/Kg	1	~	U	Yes
Anthracene	39	ug/Kg	1	σ.	U	Yes
Atrazine	78	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	39	ug/Kg	1	Α.	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	39	ug/Kg	1		U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	39	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	· 39	ug/Kg	1		U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	Ų	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	78	ug/Kg	1	~	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	20.2	ug/Kg	1	J	UJ	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	190	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes
Carbazole	78	ug/Kg	1	,	U	Yes
Caprolactam	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	39	ug/Kg	1		บ	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	78	ug/Kg	1		U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	78	ug/Kg	1	2	U	Yes

Analyte Name	Result	Units D	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	78	ug/Kg	1	_	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	78	ug/Kg	1	•	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	390	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	190	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	190	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	190	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	190	ug/Kg	1	-	υ	Yes
Nitrobenzene	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	78	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	190	ug/Kg	1	~	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	Ų	Yes
Pyrene	39	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	190	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes
METHOD:	8270D (SI	M)				
Naphthalene	3.90	ug/Kg	1	_	Ų	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	3.90	ug/Kg	1	-	U	Yes

Analyte Name

Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC18649-4

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/18/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	11	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	11	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.2	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

METHOD:	82/00					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.2	ug/L	1	~	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	18.0	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	**	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	Ų	Yes
Fluorene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.5	ug/L	1	~	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.2	ug/L	1	~	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.1	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.2	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
METHOD: 8270D (SIM)						

0.11 ug/L 1

Yes

Naphthalene

Analyte Name Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC18649-5

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/19/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	6.3	ug/L	1	-	Ų	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	13	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	6.3	ug/L	1	•	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	13	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	6.3	ug/L	1	~	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

WIETHOD.						
Analyte Name	Result		Dilution Factor	Lab Flag		•
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.5	ug/L	1	~	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	193	ug/L	2	В	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzofuran	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	Ų	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	13	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	6.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.3	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.5	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
		٠.				
METHOD:	8270D (SI	M)				
Naphthalene	0.13	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

Reviewer:\_ Rafuel Infant \_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_May\_14,\_2016\_\_\_\_

Date Ship	ect Number:_JC18649 e:_April_18-19,_2016 pping Date:_April_19,_2016 & Region:2
REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE ORGAN	IC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organ required validation actions. This document will assist the judgment to make more informed decision and in bett users. The sample results were assessed according to documents in the following order of precedence: Esection, SOP HW-35A, July 2015—Revision 0. Semivolatile and data validation actions listed on the data review of guidance document, unless otherwise noted.	ne reviewer in using professional er serving the needs of the data USEPA data validation guidance EPA Hazardous Waste Support Data Validation. The QC criteria
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest reviewed and the quality control and performance data summincluded:	data package received has been narized. The data review for SVOCs
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC18649Samples:5_Full_scan/5_SIM	ample matrix:Groundwater/Soil
Trip blank No.:	
X Data Completeness	Laboratory Control Spikes  Laboratory Control Spikes  Calibrations  Compound Identifications  Compound Quantitation  Quantitation Limits
Overall Comments:_ABN_TCL_list_by_method_SW846-82700_analyzed_by_method_SW846-8270D_(SIM)	D;_Naphthalene_and_1,4-Dioxane_
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect	

# DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
		22 462

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

### **HOLDING TIMES**

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	рН	ACTION
All samples extracted	 d and analyzed wit	hin method recommended ho	lding t	ime,

Cooler temperature (	(Criteria: 4 + 2 °C):	5.7°C	
----------------------	-----------------------	-------	--

# **Actions**

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses

		ing Time Actions for Semiv	Action		
Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds	
	No	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use professi	onal judgment	
	No	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment	
Aqueous	Yes	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification		
	Yes	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	<sub>E</sub> J	ų)	
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R	
_	No	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use professi	onal judgment	
Non-Aqueous	No	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment	
	Yes	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification		
	Yes	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	1	UJ	
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R	

	All criteria were metX Criteria were not met see below
GC/MS TUI	NING
	ment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the ning QC limits
	e DFTPP performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified eria.
_X DF	TPP tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.
If no, use p qualified or	professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted rejected.
Not	es: These requirements do not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ior Monitoring (SIM) technique.
Not	All mass spectrometer conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortion are unacceptable es: No data should be qualified based of DFTPP failure.
	The requirement to analyze the instrument performance check solution is optional when analysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol is to be performed by the SIM technique.

List	the	samples	affected:

### Actions:

- 1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the Instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
- If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what 2. extent the data may be utilized.
- 3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
- 4. Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

# **INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION**

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:_04/15/2016_(Scan)	04/19/16_(Scan)
Instrument ID numbers:GCMS2M	GCMS2P
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration:02/24/16_(SIM)	04/14/16_(SIM)
Instrument ID numbers:GCMS3M	GCMS4M
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	Aquepus/low

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
			Initial colibration m	and the required editoria	
			Initial calibration if	neet the required criteria.	

### Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
Crieria	Detect	Non-detect	
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	.!	Ü	
RRF ≤ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J/ or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%RSD ≥ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	.1	Use professional judgment	
%RSD   Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

# **INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION**

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:_04/11/2016_(Scan)	04/13-14/16_(Scan)_
Instrument ID numbers:GCMS5P	GCMSZ
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
			Initial calibration m	eets the required criteri	a
			madi balbi dabi m	Com the regained drivers	u.
V.	-				
				11.5	

# Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Catharita	Action		
Criteria	Detect	Non-detect	
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	I.	U	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment  Jh or R	R	
RRF > Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment	
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

# **Initial Calibration**

Table~2.~RRF,~% RSD,~and~% D~Acceptance~Criteria~in~Initial~Calibration~and~CCV~for~Semivolatile~Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	Opening Maximum %D <sup>t</sup>
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40,0	-40.0	-50.0
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40,0	-40.0	= 50.0
Phenol	0.080	20.0	- 20.0	-25.0
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0,100	20.0	-20.0	- 25.0
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20,0	-20.0	-25.0
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20,0	= 20.0	- 25.0
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	- 25.0	=50.0
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	=20.0	= 25.0
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20,0	- 25.0	= 25.0
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	-25.0
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	- 20.0	~25.0
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20,0	-20.0	-25.0
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20,0	-20.0	- 25.0
Naphthalene	0,200	20.0	-20.0	- 25.0
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	-40.0	-50.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	-30.0	= 50.0
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2-MethyInaphthalene	0.100	20,0	-20.0	-25.0
l lexachtorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	-40.0	= 50.0
2,4,6-Triehlorophenol	0.090	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0,100	20,0	-20.0	= 25.0
1,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	= 20.0	= 25.0

# Initial Calibration

Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatib Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>t</sup>	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40,0	-40,0	-50.0
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40,0	-40,0	=50.0
Phenol	0.080	20,0	-20.0	-25.0
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0,100	20,0	-20.0	- 25.0
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	-20.0	- 25.0
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	- 20.0	= 25.0
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	-20.0	- 25.0
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	±25.0	-50.0
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	-20.0	- 25.0
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	-20.0	- 25.0
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	- 25.0	= 25.0
Hexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	-20.0	- 25.0
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	= 20.0	- 25.0
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	-25.0
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	= 20.0	- 25.0
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	-20.0	- 25.0
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40,0	-40.0	- 50.0
llexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Caprolactam	0.010	40,0	-30.0	= 50.0
4-Chloro-3-methy/phenol	0.040	20,0	- 20.0	±25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
lexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40,0	-40.0	- 50.0
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20,0	-20.0	-25.0
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	= 25.0
1,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	-20.0	- 25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	- 25.0	- 25.0
Dimethy Iphthalate	0.300	20.0	- 25.0	= 25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	= 20.0	- 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20,0	-20.0	-25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	-25.0	-50.0
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	+20.0	-25.0
2.4-Dinitrophenol	0.010	40.0	- 50.0	- 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40,0	-40.0	- 50.0
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	- 20.0	-25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	=20.0	- 25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20,0	-20.0	-25.0
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	- 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40,0	-40.0	-50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	- 30.0	- 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0,070	20,0	= 20.0	= 25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40,0	-25.0	-50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40,0	-40,0	-50.0
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	- 20.0	-25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20,0	=20.0	-25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	±20.0	-25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20,0	-20,0	- 25.0
Pyrene	0.400	20,0	-25.0	-50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	-25.0	-50.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	-40.0	- 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Chrysene	0.200	20,0	- 20.0	- 50.0
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	-25.0	= 50.0
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	=40.0	= 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	= 25.0	- 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20,0	= 25.0	= 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	-20.0	- 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	-25.0	- 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	= 25.0	= 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	-30.0	= 50.0
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	= 20.0	= 50.0
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	- 25.0	-25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0,300	20.0	- 20.0	-25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	=:20.0	= 25.0
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	=25.0	= 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	= 25.0	- 50.0
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	-25.0	- 50.0
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	-25,0	-50,0
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	- 30.0	= 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
Chyrsene	0.400	20.0	= 25.0	= 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	=30.0	- 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	=30.0	- 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20,0	-40.0	± 50 <sub>+</sub> 0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	- 40,0	- 50.0
Benzo(g.h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	=40.0	= 50.0

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	-50.0	-50,0	
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds					

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	Closing Maximum %D
1,4-Dioxane-d <sub>8</sub>	0.010	20.0	- 25.0	- 50.0
Phenol-ds	0.010	20.0	-25.0	= 25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl)ether-dx	0.100	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d <sub>4</sub>	0.200	20.0	-20,0	- 25.0
4-Methy lphenol-ds	0.010	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d <sub>4</sub>	0.010	40.0	-40.0	- 50.0
Nitrobenzene-ds	0.050	20.0	= 20.0	- 25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d <sub>4</sub>	0.050	20.0	= 20.0	-25.0
2.4-Dichlorophenol-d:	0,060	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Dimethy lphthalate-d <sub>6</sub>	0.300	20.0	-20.0	-25.0
Acenaphthylene-d <sub>s</sub>	0,400	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d <sub>1</sub>	0.010	40.0	40,0	-50.0
Fluorene-d <sub>10</sub>	0.100	20.0	= 20.0	- 25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-dy	0.010	40.0	-30.0	-50.0
Anthracene-d <sub>10</sub>	0,300	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0
Pyrene-dm	0.300	20.0	-25.0	- 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d <sub>12</sub>	0,010	20.0	-20.0	- 50.0
Fluoranthene-d <sub>10</sub> (SIM)	0.400	20,0	-25.0	-50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d <sub>10</sub> (SIM)	0.300	20.0	- 20.0	- 25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Note: If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

### CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of ini	tial calibration:	04/15/16_(Scan)				
Date of ini	tial calibration	verification (ICV):_04/	15/16			
Date of co	ntinuing calibra	ation verification (CCV	):_04/21/16;_04/28/16			
			, -			
Instrumen	t ID numbers:	GCMS2M				
	•					
Date of ini	tial calibration:	04/19/16_(Scan)	19-20/16			
Date of ini	tial calibration	verification (ICV):_04/	19-20/16			
Date of co	ntinuing calibra	ation verification (CCV	'):04/21/16			
Date of clo	osing CCV:	<u> </u>				
Instrumen	t ID numbers:_	GCMS2F	)	<u>.</u>		
DATE	LAB FILE	CRITERIA OUT	COMPOUND	SAMPLES		
	ID#	RFs, %RSD, <u>%D</u> , r		AFFECTED		
GCMS2M						
04/21/16	cc3649-25	-23.2	4-Nitrophenol	JC18649-1; -2; and		
	cc3651-2	-29.9	Atrazine	-5		
04/28/16 cc3649-50 34.7 1,4-Dioxane JC18649-3						
		-24.6	Caprolactam			
		-31.6	4-Nitrophenol			
	cc3651-2	-24.1	Atrazine			
GCMS2P						

**Note:** Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria. Analytes not meeting the method % difference criteria meet the guidance document performance criteria for continuing calibration verification of ± 40 %, no action taken. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

21.5

### Actions:

04/21/16 CC2547-50

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine

JC18649-4

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

### CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of inition	tial calibration ntinuing calibra	verification (ICV):_04/ ation verification (CCV	21/16	27/16			
Date of cic	sing CCV:						
Matrix/Lev	el:Aq	ueous/low					
Date of inition  Date of co	tial calibration to the calibration of the calibrat	verification (ICV):_04/ ation verification (CCV	14/16 /):04/21/16	50			
Date of closing CCV:							
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low							
Addodolos							
DATE	l	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, <u>%D</u> , r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED			
GCMS4M							
04/21/16	cc28815	-23.9	Naphthalene	JC18649-1; -2; and -5			
				1974 1940			
				7			

**Note:** Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria. Analytes not meeting the method % difference criteria meet the guidance document performance criteria for continuing calibration verification of  $\pm$  40 %, no action taken. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

#### Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

### CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initing Date of co	tial calibratio ntinuing calil	n verification (ICV):_04/ pration verification (CCV	/11/16/):_04/20/16				
Date of closing CCV:							
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low							
Date of initial calibration:04/13/16_(Scan)  Date of initial calibration verification (ICV): _04/14/16							
Date of continuing calibration verification (CCV):04/26/16							
Date of closing CCV:							
Instrument ID numbers: GCMSZ							
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low							
DATE	LAB FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, <u>%D</u> , r		SAMPLES AFFECTED			
GCMS5P							
04/20/16	cc1382-25	-29.7	di-n-octyl phthalate	JC18649-1; -2; and -5			
GCMSZ							
		mples used for QC only	document and method perfo and not part of data package taken.				

**Note:** Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria. Analytes not meeting the method % difference criteria meet the guidance document performance criteria for continuing calibration verification of ± 40 %, no action taken. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

### Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Critorio for Chains COV	Ac	tion	
Crueria for Opening CC, v	ing CCV Criteria for Closing CCV		Non-detect	
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R	
RRF > Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF > Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	J	ĘJ	
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

# BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have and associated field blank.

# Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
	OP93236-MB1	_ wol\.pA_	anks_except_for_the_followings 1,4-Dioxane	0.74_ug/L
Note:	•		8649-1; -2; and 5. No actions in all samples. Laboratory qua	n taken 1,4-Dioxane
Field/Equipmer	nt/Trip blank			
DATE ANALYZED	LABID	LEVEL! MATRIX		CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_field/trip/e	quipment_blanks_a	analyzed_wi	th_this_data_package	
- 2				

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

# BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

# **Blank Actions**

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action	
	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification	
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)	
		> CRQL	Use professional judgment	
		< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)	
Method,	> CRQI,	Method,	> CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
TCLP/SPLP LEB, Field		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment	
4527.2 NP563	Grossly high	Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)	
	TIC > 5.0 ug/L (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment	

# List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
-					

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

## SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES – DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

4.5	Action		
Criteria	Detect	Non-detect	
%R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	-1.	R	
10% < %R (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ	
Lower Acceptance limit \sim \%R \sim Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification	
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	Π,	No qualification	

Matrix:\_\_\_Groundwater\_\_\_\_\_

SAMPLE ID SURROGATE COMPOUND ACTION

\_\_DMCs\_meet\_the\_required\_criteria.\_Non-deuterated\_surrogates\_added\_to\_the\_samples\_\_\_\_\_
\_\_within\_laboratory\_recovery\_limits\_except\_for\_the\_followings:\_\_\_\_\_
\_\_JC18649-4\_(SIM)\_\_\_\_\_\_2-Fluorobiphenyl\_\_\_\_\_\_\_No\_action\_\_\_\_

\_Surrogates\_not\_recovered\_in\_samples\_JC18649-1\_and\_JC18649-2\_due\_to\_dilution;\_no\_\_\_\_\_
action\_taken.

Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

1,4-Dioxane-d <sub>8</sub> (DMC-1)	Phenol-d <sub>5</sub> (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-d <sub>8</sub> (DMC-3)
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether
	Phenol	2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)
		Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane
2-Chlorophenol-d <sub>4</sub> (DMC-4)	4-Methylphenol-da (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d4 (DMC-6)
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol	4-Chloroaniline
	3-Methylphenol	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
	4-Methylphenol	Dichlorobenzidine
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	
Nitrobenzene-ds(DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d <sub>4</sub> (DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3(DMC-9)
Acetophenone	Isophorone	2,4-Dichlorophenol
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2-Nitrophenol	Hexachtorobutadiene
Hexachloroethane		Hexachforocyclopentadiene
Nitrobenzene		4-Chloro-3-methylphenol
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,5-Frichlorophenol
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine		1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene
		*Pentachlorophenol
		2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol
Dimethylphthalate-d <sub>6</sub> (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-ds (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d <sub>4</sub> (DMC-12)
Caprolactam	*Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline
L.P-Biphenyl	*2-Methylnaphthalene	3-Nitroaniline
Dimethy lphthafate	2-Chloronaphthalene	2,4-Dinitrophenol
Diethylphthalate	*Acenaphthylene	4-Nîtrophenol
Di-n-butylphthalate	*Acenaphthene	4-Nitroaniline
Butylbenzylphthalate		1
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate		
Di-n-octylphthalate		

Fluorene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d <sub>2</sub> (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran *Pluorene 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene Atrazine *Phenanthrene *Anthracene
Carbazole Pyrene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d <sub>12</sub> (DMC-17)	
*Fluoranthene *Pyrene *Benzo(a)anthracene *Chrysene	3.3'-Dichlorobenzidine  *Benzo(b)fluoranthene  *Benzo(a)fluoranthene  *Benzo(a)pyrene  *Indeno(1,2,3-ed)pyrene  *Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene  *Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

<sup>\*</sup>Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAHs and PCP only.

Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d10 (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acchaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g.h.i)perylene	

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

## VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

#### 1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the

MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC18649-1_MS/MSD Sample ID:JC18791-18_MS/MSD					
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
JC18649-1MS _MS/MSD	/MSD 1,4-Dioxane	_920/204	10	10119	No_action
JC18791-18M8 _MSD	S/MSD _Hexachlorobutadiene_	122_		29120	No_action

**Note:** No action taken, sample concentration high compared to amount spiked.

- QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

#### Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

## VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

#### MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC18649-2_MS/MSD(SIM) Sample ID:JC18477-2_MS/MSD(SIM) Sample ID:JC18649-3_MS/MSD(SIM)			Matrix/Level:_Groundwater Matrix/Level:_Groundwater Matrix/Level:Soil		
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
				8-370	

**Note:** MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within the laboratory and validation guidance document criteria.

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

## Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

## INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT IS AREA ACCEPTABLE ACTION RANGE

Internal standard area counts meet the required criteria.

#### Action:

- 1. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 200.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
  - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
  - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
  - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
  - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 200% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- 5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

**Note:** Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

State in the Data Review Namative if the required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank or if the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration.

# Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
Cruena	Detect	Non-detect	
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	R	
20% < Area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	1	Ü	
50% < Area response < 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	No qualification	No qualification	
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J-	No qualification	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL > 10.0 seconds	R	R	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL < 10.0 seconds	No qualification	No qualification	

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
TARGET COM	POUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
	Retention Times (RRTs) of reported configuration (opening Continuing Calibration Verification).	•
List compound	s not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
2 <del></del>		
spectrum from	10% must be present in the sample speci. The relative intensities of these ions standard and sample spectra (e.g., for a standard spectrum, the corresponding s 30-70%).  lons present at greater than 10% in the standard spectrum, must be evaluated.	ng CCV or mid-point standard from initial ectrum at a relative intensity greater than trum.  must agree within ±20% between the an ion with an abundance of 50% in the ample ion abundance must be between the sample mass spectrum, but not present in
List compound	spectral interpretation. s not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
_ldentified_cor	npounds_meet_the_required_criteria	

## Action:

- 1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
- 2. Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- 3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

# TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

4		_	
1	ist		Cs
	151		1 . ~

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound
=======================================	=======================================		

## Action:

- 1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- 2. General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
  - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
  - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not mel
and/or see below

# SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

#### Action:

- 1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
- 2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
- 4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- 5. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Ac	Action		
Cineria	Detects	Non-detects		
%Solids < 10.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment		
10.0% < %Solids < 30.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment		
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification	No qualification		

#### SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Sample ID:_	_JC18649-	1 Analyte:1,4-Dioxane	RF:_0.669
[]	=	(91344)(40)/(171202)(0.669)	
	=	31.9 ppm Ok	

# **QUANTITATION LIMITS**

# A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
JC18649-1	200	1,4-Dioxane outside calibration range.
JC18649-2	200	1,4-Dioxane outside calibration range.
JC18649-5	2	1,4-Dioxane outside calibration range.
10011 - 301		
70	,	

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
FIELD DUPLICATE PR	RECISION	
Sample IDs:	JC18649-1/JC18649-2	Matrix:Groundwater

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: if large RPD (> 50 %) is observed, confirm identification of the samples and note differences. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION	
RPD within the required criteria < 50 % for detected target analytes.						
		1				

				All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
OTHER	ISSUES			
A.	System Performance			
List sam	ples qualified based or	the degradation of s	system performance d	luring simple analysis:
Sample	ID 	Comments	=======================================	Actions
Action:				
degrade		ses. Inform the Cont	ract Laboratory Progr	system performance has ram COR any action as a ne data.
B.	Overall Assessment of [	Data		
List sam	ples qualified based on	other issues:		
Sample	D	Comments		Actions
	er_issues_that_require or_decission_purposes		ify_the_dataResults	s_are_valid_and_can_be

#### Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- 2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).
- 3. Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
  - The analysis with the lower CRQL
  - The analysis with the better QC results
  - The analysis with the higher results